

1. Let \mathcal{F} be an equicontinuous family of functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} .

Prove that the family $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{f \circ g: f, g \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is also equicontinuous.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. By the equicontinuity of \mathcal{F} , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ whenever $|x - y| < \delta$. Using the equicontinuity again, find $\delta' > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $|f(x) - f(y)| < \delta$ whenever $|x - y| < \delta'$.

Now let f and g be any functions from \mathcal{F} , and suppose $|x - y| < \delta'$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. From the above we have $|f(g(x)) - f(g(y))| < \epsilon$, as required. \square

2. Give an example of a sequence of continuous functions $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which has the following three properties: (i) $f_n \rightarrow 0$ pointwise; (ii) $\int_0^1 |f_n| dx \rightarrow 0$; (iii) $\int_0^1 f_n^2 dx \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Recall an example given in class to demonstrate that pointwise convergence does not imply convergence of integrals: the sequence

$$g_n = \max(0, n - n^2|x - 1/n|) = \begin{cases} n^2x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1/n \\ n^2(2/n - x), & 1/n \leq x \leq 2/n \\ 0, & 2/n \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

converges to 0 pointwise but $\int_0^1 g dx = 1$. Also,

$$\int_0^1 g_n^2 dx = 2 \int_0^{1/n} n^4 x^2 dx = \frac{2}{3}n \rightarrow \infty$$

Thus, we already have (i) and (iii), but need to make the function a bit smaller to also achieve (ii).

One can try guess-and-check, but the more reliable method is to introduce a coefficient $c_n > 0$ to be chosen later: $f_n(x) = c_n g_n(x)$. No matter what c_n is, (i) holds because $f_n(0) = 0$ and for any $x \in (0, 1]$ we have $f_n(x) = 0$ when $n > 2/x$. Since

$$\int_0^1 |f_n| dx = c_n \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 f_n^2 dx = c_n^2 \cdot \frac{2}{3}n$$

We need $c_n \rightarrow 0$ and $nc_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$; both are achieved with $c_n = n^{-1/3}$. Thus, $f_n = n^{-1/3}g_n$ satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii). \square

3. Prove that $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+x} dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1}$.

Proof. The geometric series

$$\frac{1}{1+x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^n, \quad |x| < 1,$$

converges uniformly on $[0, b]$ for any $0 < b < 1$, but it does not converge uniformly on $[0, 1)$. There are two ways to get around this difficulty: (A) work with $[0, b]$ and then let $b \rightarrow 1$; (B) modify the series to achieve uniform convergence on $[0, 1]$.

(A) Let $0 < b < 1$. Applying the Weierstrass test to $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^n$ on $[0, b]$, we find that convergence is uniform because $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b^n < \infty$. Thus, the integral of the sum is the sum of integrals:

$$(1) \quad \int_0^b \frac{1}{1+x} dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} b^n, \quad 0 < b < 1.$$

As $b \rightarrow 1$, the left side of (1) tends to $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+x} dx$ because

$$\left| \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+x} dx - \int_0^b \frac{1}{1+x} dx \right| = \int_b^1 \frac{1}{1+x} dx \leq (1-b) \rightarrow 0.$$

It remains to show that

$$(2) \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} b^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1}$$

To this end, consider the function

$$(3) \quad F(b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} b^n, \quad 0 \leq b \leq 1.$$

The series defining F is uniformly convergent on $[0, 1]$ by #3 in Homework 4. Thus F is continuous on $[0, 1]$. In particular, $\lim_{b \rightarrow 1} F(b) = F(1)$, which is exactly (2).

(B) Following the earlier example of $1/(1+x^2)$, begin with

$$(4) \quad \frac{2}{1+x} = 1 + 1 - x - x + x^2 + x^2 - x^3 - x^3 + x^4 + x^4 - \dots, \quad |x| < 1$$

and group the terms as

$$(5) \quad \frac{2}{1+x} = 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{2n} (1-x)^2, \quad |x| < 1.$$

The new series converges at $x = 1$ as well, and the equality (5) holds at $x = 1$. Moreover, each term is nonnegative, which means that partial sums form an increasing series of functions. Since both the partial sums and their limit are continuous on $[0, 1]$, we conclude (by Theorem 7.13) that the convergence is uniform on $[0, 1]$. Hence we can integrate term by term:

$$(6) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{2}{1+x} dx = 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 x^{2n} (1-x)^2 dx = 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{2}{2n+2} + \frac{1}{2n+3} \right).$$

It remains to prove that the series in (6) has the expected sum. To this end, rearrange its partial sum:

$$1 + \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{2}{2n+2} + \frac{1}{2n+3} \right) = \frac{1}{2N+3} + 2 \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n+2} \right)$$

and notice that

$$2 \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n+2} \right) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{2N+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \rightarrow 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. □

4. For $x \in [0, 1]$, let $f_0(x) = x$ and define $f_n(x) = f_{n-1}(x) \cdot (1 - f_{n-1}(x))$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Prove that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$.

Proof. Since f_n is continuous and $f_n = f_{n-1} - f_{n-1}^2 \leq f_{n-1}$, it suffices to prove pointwise convergence to 0 (uniform convergence follows from Theorem 7.13). For any $x \in [0, 1]$ the values $f_n(x)$ are between 0 and 1, which is readily seen by induction (the product of two numbers in $[0, 1]$ lies in the same interval). For a fixed $x \in [0, 1]$, the sequence $\{f_n(x)\}$ is decreasing and bounded, and therefore has a limit which we denote by L . Also, $f_{n+1}(x) = f_n(x) \cdot (1 - f_n(x)) \rightarrow L(1 - L)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$, it follows that $L = L(1 - L)$. This is only possible when $L = 0$. \square

5. Let \mathcal{C} be the set of all continuous functions from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbb{R} . Given $f, g \in \mathcal{C}$, consider the set $N(f, g) := \{x \in [0, 1] : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ and define

$$d(f, g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } N(f, g) = \emptyset; \\ \sup N(f, g) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show that d is a metric on \mathcal{C} , and then prove that the metric space (\mathcal{C}, d) is not complete.

Proof. Properties of metric: (i) $d \geq 0$ by definition. Also, $d(f, g) = 0$ if and only if $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x > 0$, in which case the continuity implies $f(0) = g(0)$ as well.

(ii) $d(f, g) = d(g, f)$ because $N(f, g) = N(g, f)$.

(iii) To prove $d(f, h) \leq d(f, g) + d(g, h)$, take $x > d(f, g) + d(g, h)$ and observe that $f(x) = g(x)$ and $g(x) = h(x)$ by the definition of d . Hence $f(x) = h(x)$ for all such x , which yields the desired inequality. To prove that (\mathcal{C}, d) is not complete, we need a Cauchy sequence in (\mathcal{C}, d) that fails to have a limit in the sense of (\mathcal{C}, d) . One way to create a Cauchy sequence is to cut off the same function at different places, for example

$$f_n(x) = \min(1/x, n), \quad f_n(0) = n,$$

is the cutoff of $1/x$ at level n . For $m > n \geq N$ we have $d(f_n, f_m) = 1/n \leq 1/N \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the sequence is Cauchy.

Suppose that there is $f \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $d(f, f_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Being continuous on $[0, 1]$, the function f is bounded: say, $|f| \leq M$ for some constant M . Fix a number $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that $\epsilon < 1/M$. For all $n > 1/\epsilon$ we have $f_n(\epsilon) = 1/\epsilon > M \geq f(\epsilon)$. Hence $d(f, f_n) \geq \epsilon$, a contradiction. \square

6. “If $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable on $[0, 1]$ for each n , and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$, then f is differentiable on $[0, 1]$.”

False: counterexamples were constructed in Homework 4.

7. “If $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable on $[0, 1]$ for each n , and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$, then f is Riemann integrable on $[0, 1]$.”

True, by Theorem 7.16.